Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Mangal Singh, S/o Sh. Inder Singh, VPO Bahik Khas, Tehsil & District Fazilka.

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Divisional Forest Officer, Sri Muktsar Sahib.

Complaint Case_ No. 2 of 2022 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Complainant) Absent Hardeep Singh, Forest Range Officer (for the Respondent) 94172-54475

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 6.4.2022)

- 1. The complainant, **Mangal Singh**, filed this RTI application dated 5.10.2021 and sought information <u>regarding Ms. Gurpreet Kaur, Forest Guard</u>, from the PIO o/o **Divisional Forest Officer**, **Sri Muktsar Sahib**. When no information was received, the Complainant filed a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Commission on **17.12.2021**. The case was last heard on **6.4.2022**.
- 2. At the last hearing on 6.4.2022, the complainant who is absent, was given an opportunity to be heard in person or make a written submission. He has not availed of either opportunity. The complainant has also not responded to the respondent PIO's offer to inspect the record pertaining to point no. 3 and 5 of the RTI application.
- 3. In view of the above this Complaint Case is **Disposed of**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Kamalveer,

S/o Budh Ram, Village Udasar Chhota, PO Moter, Tehsil Rawatsar, District Hanumangarh, Rajasthan.

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Sub Divisional Magistrate, Sri Muktsar Sahib, First Appellate Authority, o/o Deputy Commissioner, Sri Muktsar Sahib.

Appeal Case No. 1952 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent Rajinder Singh, PIO-cum-Superintendent (Respondent) 99150-05556

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 24.2.2021, 29.9.2021, 9.12.2021, and 6.4.2022)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **31.12.2019** vide which the appellant has sought information regarding a domicile certificate (No. 556 dated 22.4.1986) issued to Gurmail Singh s/o Jwala Singh of Lohara Village, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **8.3.2020** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **27.7.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **6.4.2022**.
- 2. The appellant is absent without intimation. The respondent PIO, represented by Rajinder Singh, Superintendent from the office of SDM, Sri Muktsar Sahib, has, as directed by this Commission on 6.4.2022, submitted an affidavit vide Letter No. 592 Dated 7.4.2022. It has been stated in said affidavit that the requested record is more than 30 years old and not traceable in the records of the SDM, Sri Muktsar Sahib.
- 3. In view of the above there is no further cause for action and this Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Trilochan Singh, S/o Gurbachan Singh, # 848 A, M.I.G., Jamalpur, Ludhiana.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Sub Divisional Magistrate-cum-Licensing & Registration Authority, Sri Muktsar Sahib First Appellate Authority, o/o Sub Divisional Magistrate Sri Muktsar Sahib

Appeal Case No. 2985 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent Rajinder Singh, PIO-cum-Superintendent (Respondent) 99150-05556

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 3.3.2021, 7.7.2021, 9.12.2021, and 6.4.2022)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **10.5.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information regarding vehicle registration of a particular series; commercial vehicles, outside vehicles etc., as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **21.6.2020**, and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **8.10.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **6.4.2022**.
- 2. At the last hearing on 6.4.2022, the respondent PIO was given a final opportunity to comply with this Commission's direction of 3.3.2021 and reply to the Show Cause Notice issued to him on 9.12.2021, before 11.4.2022. In a reply to said Show Cause Notice, submitted vide Letter No. 59 Dated 7.4.2022, the respondent PIO has detailed all the correspondence with the appellant Trilochan Singh. He has also included the pointwise reply to the RTI application ,which was sent to the appellant vide this Commission's Order, vide Letter No. 126 Dated 3.3.2021.
- 3. The Commission has examined the reply and is of the view that this adequately addresses the RTI application. Taking note of the fact that the appellant is absent for the Fifth successive Hearing today, this Appeal Case is Appeal is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Amar Jit Kalra, # 169 A, Sector 51 A, Chandigarh-160047

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Sri Mukatsar Sahib. First Appellate Authority, o/o Inspector General of Police, Faridkot Range, Faridkot.

Appeal Case No. 2976 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Amarjit Singh Kalra (Appellant) 94635-42520 ASI, Manjeet Singh (for the Respondent) 80549-23494

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 3.3.2021, 7.7.2021, 9.12.2021, and 6.4.2022)

- 1. The RTI application is dated 29.5.2020 vide which the appellant has sought information regarding his applications against dismissed Patwari Tejinder Pal Singh dated 2.7.19 and 16.12.19, including statements recorded; records sought from the kanugo Order No. 53 of 6.8.19 by DC Muktsar etc. in nine points, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on 24.7.2020, and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on 7.10.2020 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on 6.4.2022.
- 2. Both parties are present. The appellant is present in person. As advised by the Commission, the appellant collected the information submitted by the respondent PIO in person on 7.4.2022. A copy of the respondent PIO's Letter No. 715 Dated 21.6.2022, along with certified copy of the FIR has also been handed over to the appellant. The appellant states that he is satisfied with the information furnished.
- 3. The Commission notes that this RTI application was submitted on 29.5.2020 and the information has been supplied after considerable delay. However, said delay is condoned keeping in view of the restrictions due to the ongoing Covid Pandemic.
- 4. There is no further cause for action and this Appeal is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Sukhpal Kaur, Sarpanch, W/o Sh. Baljit Singh, Village Sant Nagar Maulviwala, Tehsil Patran, Patiala.

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Station House Officer, Patran, District Patiala. Public Information Officer, o/o Senior Superintendent of Police, District Patiala.

Complaint Case No. 1057 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Complainant) Absent ASI Rajbir Singh (for the Respondent) 98765-53424

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 4.3.2022)

- 1. The complainant, **Sukhpal Kaur**, filed this RTI application dated **18.12.2020** and sought information <u>regarding FIR No. 0145/2020 at Police Station Patran</u>, from the PIO o/o SSP, **Patiala**. When no information was received, the Complainant filed a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Commission on **16.8.2021**. The case was last heard on **4.3.2022**.
- 2. The complainant is absent without intimation. At the last hearing on 4.3.2022, the PIO-cum-SHO, Police Station Patran was issued a Show Cause Notice. ASI Rajbir Singh, from the office of SHO, Patran, states that the requested information has been supplied to the complainant vide Letter No. 01-RTI Dated 19.6.2022.
- 3. The respondent PIO is directed to submit a copy of said letter along with the appended information to the Commission by registered post forthwith.
- 4. In view of the above, this Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Nidhan Singh,

S/o Late Sh. Dass Singh, Village Todarwal, Police Station Bhadson, Post Office Babarpur, Tehsil Nabha, District Patiala – 147201

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala. First Appellate Authority,

o/o Inspector General of Police, Patiala Range, Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 2260 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Nidhan Singh (Appellant) 94633-27988 Amandeep Singh, Senior Assistant (for the Respondent) 97791-28209

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 21.10.2021, 7.12.2021, and 3.3.2022)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **14.12.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information <u>regarding Complaint No. 6741/peshi Dated 20.10.2020</u>, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **15.3.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **11.5.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act 2005. The case was last heard on **3.3.2022**.
- 2. Both parties are present. The appellant has raised some objections to the information supplied at point no. 3 and 4 of the RTI application. The respondent PIO, represented by Amandeep Singh, Senior Assistant, however, states that all the record pertaining to the Four points of the RTI application have been furnished to the appellant.
- 3. In view of the appellant's objection, the Commission directs the respondent PIO-cum-SSP, Patiala to submit a notarized affidavit citing the Four points of this RTI application with a clear declaration that all the information pertaining to the said points, as available in the records, have been supplied to the appellant and that there is no further information available in the official record. The original affidavit must be handed over to the appellant and copy is to be sent to this Commission within 10 days of receipt of this Order.
- 4. This Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/-

(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Nidhan Singh, S/o Late Sh. Dass Singh, Village Todarwal, Police Station Bhadson, Post Office Babarpur, Tehsil Nabha, District Patiala-147201

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala. First Appellate Authority, o/o Inspector General of Police (Patiala Range), Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 4039 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Nidhan Singh (Appellant) 94633-27988 Amandeep Singh, Senior Assistant (for the Respondent) 97791-28209

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 19.3.2021, 23.7.2021, 21.10.2021, 7.12.2021, and 3.3.2022)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **24.9.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding FIR No. 80 of 24.6.2020 registered at Police Station Bhadson,** as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **27.10.2020** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **15.12.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **3.3.2022**.
- 2. Both parties are present. The appellant had listed a number of deficiencies in the information provided by the respondent PIO. The list of said deficiencies has also been handed over to the PIO at this hearing. The respondent PIO agrees to search the concerned file for the documents requested by way of deficiencies and provide duly certified copies of the same. In case the documents listed in the deficiencies are not available in the record, the PIO is to clearly state the same in a notarized affidavit. Original affidavit is to be sent to the appellant and a copy of the same is to be submitted to this Commission within 10 days of receipt of this Order.
- 3. This Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Sham Sunder, S/o Sh. Nand Lal, C-85, New Cantt Road, Faridkot.

Versus

Public Information officer, o/o Municipal Corporation, Moti Bagh, Patiala. First Appellate Authority, Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 2516 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Sham Sunder (Appellant) 62392-44597 Sandeep Jain, Accountant (for the Respondent) 98143-41586

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 10.11.2021 and 3.3.2022)

- 1. The RTI application is dated 11.2.2021 vide which the appellant has sought information regarding financial loss to Municipal Corporation, Patiala, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on 23.3.2021 and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on 26.5.2021 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on 3.3.2022.
- 2. Both parties are present. As directed at the hearing on 10.11.2021, the respondent PIO has submitted a pointwise reply to the RTI application vide Letter No. 1229-1232/DCFA dated 7.12.2021. The Commission has examined the reply in light of the queries raised in the RTI application. This RTI application has been adequately addressed. The Appeal is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Mohinder Pal Singh,

S/o Late Sh. Kulwant Singh, # 733/2, Street No. 7, Guru Nanak Nagar, Tehsil & District Patiala.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Commissioner, Municipal Commissioner, Patiala. First Appellate Authority, o/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 1965 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent (Respondent) Absent

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 21.10.2021 and 3.3.2022)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **5.9.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information regarding House No. 733/3, Street No. 7, Guru Nanak Nagar, Patiala, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **21.1.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **22.4.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act 2005. The case was last heard on **3.3.2022**.
- 2. Both parties are absent. At the last hearing on 3.3.2022, the respondent PIO was directed to send another hard copy of her reply as well as the appended information to the appellant. The appellant confirmed on telephone that he has received the requested information.
- 3. This Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Davinder Kaur, W/o Sh. Mann Singh, # 516, SST Nagar, Patiala.

Versus

Public Information officer, o/o Executive Officer, Improvement Trust, District Patiala. First Appellate Authority, o/o Additional Deputy Commissioner (UD), District Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 1258 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Davinder Kaur (Appellant) 98882-51446 (Respondent) Absent

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 10.9.2021 and 3.3.2022)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **18.2.2020** vide which the appellant has sought <u>information</u> <u>regarding an application dated 7.8.2009, 10.6.2013 etc.</u>, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **3.7.2020** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **8.3.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **3.3.2022**.
- 2. At the last hearing on 3.3.2022, the respondent PIO was given an opportunity to submit duly attested copies of all the pages supplied way of information, to the Commission by registered post. A copy of the same has been received vide Diary No. 4812 Dated 8.3.2022. The appellant, who is present at today's hearing, confirms that she has received the requested file and is satisfied with it.
- 3. This Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Balwinder Singh, # 90 C, Street No.2, GTB Nagar, Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Deputy Secretary,
Punjab State Power Corporation Limited,
Head Office, The Mall, Patiala.
First Appellate Authority,
o/o Chief Engineer, T&S,
Punjab State Power Corporation Limited (PSPCL),
B-2 Shakti Vihar, Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 2186 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent Amit Gupta, AEE (for the Respondent) 96461-21693 Anil Sood, Assistant Accountant (for the Respondent) 97806-85631

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 14.1.2021, 28.10.2021, 14.12.2021, and 3.3.2022)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **1.6.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding IWR dated 1.4.2012 to 31.3.2020 in 9 points,** as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **8.7.2020** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **14.8.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **3.3.2022**.
- 2. At the last hearing on 3.3.3022, the respondent PIO was directed to email copies of the postal receipt and dispatch register page vide which information (approximately 320 pages) were sent to the appellant vide Letter No. 3405 Dated 30.12.2021, by registered post. The respondent PIO has complied. It is notable here that the appellant has been absent for Three consecutive Hearings and has not conveyed and deficiency in the information supplied to him vide Letter No. 3405 Dated 30.12.2021.
- 3. In view of the above, this Appeal is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Baldev Raj, S/o Sh. Bhagat Ram, VPO Behar Jach, Tehsil Patran, District Patiala.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Panchayat Secretary,
Village Panchayat Behar Jach,
Block Pataran, District Patiala.

Public Information Officer,
o/o Executive Officer, Block Samiti, Patran,
District Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,
o/o Executive Officer, Block Samiti, Patran,
District Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 2358 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: Baldev Raj (Appellant) 94787-23518 (Respondent) Absent

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 21.10.2021 and 26.4.2022)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **23.1.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information regarding grant to Ravidass SC Dharamshala from 2015 to 2018, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **6.3.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **18.5.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act 2005. The case was last heard on **26.4.2022**.
- 2. The respondent PIO is absent. However, in a reply to this Commission's Order of 3.3.2022, the respondent PIO, office of BDPO, Patran, vide Letter No. 422 dated 25.4.2022, states that the record requested in the RTI application concerning the village BehaJach is currently with the office of the DDPO, Patiala for an enquiry. He states that the said record will be furnished to the appellant once it is received back after enquiry.
- 3. In view of the above, this Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Jatinder Pal Singh Randhawa, S/o Sh. Nidhan Singh Randhawa, # 105, VPO-New Sullar, Tehsil & District Patiala.

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o District Forest Officer, Patiala. First Appellate Authority, o/o District Forest Officer, Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 2993 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent Ramanpreet Singh, Block Officer (for the Respondent) 98771-35027

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 24.8.2021, 26.10.2021, and 3.3.2022)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **1.2.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information regarding Forest Department land in Village Beer Kheri, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **5.4.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **30.6.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **3.3.2022**.
- 2. The appellant is absent without intimation. The respondent PIO, represented by Ramanpreet Singh, Block Officer, Forest Department, has submitted a copy of the reply sent to the appellant in response to the deficiencies pointed by him pertaining to points 2, 6, and 8. The Commission has examined said reply and is of the view that this RTI application has been adequately addressed.
- 3. This Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.